

#91



COMPLETE

Collector: Nano Consult - Non-Industry (Web Link)
 Started:
 Last Modified:
 Time Spent:
 IP Address:

PAGE 2: Section I - Identification

Q1: Please provide the following details (*compulsory):

Your name:	Anja Chalmin
Town/City:	Altenholz
Country*:	Germany
E-mail address:	

Q2: Please indicate if you are responding to this questionnaire on behalf of/as:	a) an individual
---	------------------

Q3: Received contributions may be published on the Commission's website, with the identity of the contributor. Please state your preference with regard to the publication of your contribution:	My contribution may be published under the name indicated
---	---

Q4: We might need to contact you to clarify some of your answers. Please state your preference below:	I am available to be contacted
--	--------------------------------

PAGE 3: Section III – Problem definition and objectives

Q5: Please rate the importance of the following objectives on a scale between 1 (not important at all) and 5 (very important).

a) Provide decision makers, regulatory authorities and professional users with information that allows for an appropriate response to health or environmental risks of nanomaterials	5
b) Provide consumers with relevant information on products containing nanomaterials on the market	5
c) Maintain competitiveness and innovation of businesses bringing nanomaterials or products containing nanomaterials to the market (including SMEs)	2
d) Ensure consumer trust in products containing nanomaterials	5
e) Ensure the availability of relevant information on the presence of nanomaterials or products containing nanomaterials on the market	5
f) Ensure the proportionality of the information requirements and the associated costs and administrative burden.	2
g) Protect confidential business information	2
Please provide additional comments	this new technology should be thoroughly assessed. Risks are not very well clarified.

Q6: To what degree (from 1 - not at all to 5 - fully) does the current legislative framework (including the REACH and CLP Regulations and product-specific legislation) and the currently available databases (including the JRC web platform, see http://ihcp.jrc.ec.europa.eu/our_databases/web-platform-on-nanomaterials) meet the following objectives?

a) Provide decision makers, regulatory authorities and professional users with information that allows for an appropriate response to health or environmental risks of nanomaterials	2
b) Provide consumers with relevant information on products containing nanomaterials on the market	2
c) Maintain competitiveness and innovation of businesses bringing nanomaterials or products containing nanomaterials to the market (including SMEs)	2
d) Ensure consumer trust in products containing nanomaterials	1
e) Ensure the availability of relevant information on the presence of nanomaterials or products containing nanomaterials on the market	1
f) Ensure the proportionality of the information requirements and the associated costs and administrative burden.	2
g) Protect confidential business information	2

Q7: To what extent do you agree with the following statements from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree):

- | | |
|---|---|
| a) The current level of available information on the presence of nanomaterials and products containing nanomaterials on the market is insufficient for an adequate response to health and environmental risks | 5 |
| b) The current level of available information on the presence of nanomaterials and products containing nanomaterials on the market is insufficient for informed consumer choice | 5 |
| c) The current level of available information on the presence of nanomaterials and products containing nanomaterials on the market is detrimental to consumer trust | 4 |
| d) The available information on the presence of nanomaterials and products containing nanomaterials on the market is presented in an incoherent or ineffective way | 4 |
| e) The establishment of national registries and notification schemes causes market fragmentation and hampers trade within the internal market | 4 |

PAGE 4: Section IV – Health and environmental aspects

- | | |
|--|--|
| Q8: With regard to health and environmental hazards and risks of specific nanomaterials/types of nanomaterials, please tick the relevant boxes: | <p>I am aware of health and/or environmental hazards of specific nanomaterials/types of nanomaterials</p> <p>,</p> <p>I am not aware of any classified nanomaterials,</p> <p>I am not aware of any DNELs/PNECs/OELs set for specific nanomaterials/types of nanomaterials</p> <p>,</p> <p>I am aware of significant exposure of workers/users/consumers to specific nanomaterials/types of nanomaterials</p> |
| Q9: With regard to the past and current use of nanomaterials (tick the relevant box): | I am aware of health and/or environmental incidents which have occurred |
| Q10: The establishment of an EU nanomaterial registry (tick the relevant box): | Would significantly contribute to reducing the health and/or environmental risks related to the use of nanomaterials |

PAGE 5: Section V – Consumer trust

- | | |
|--|---|
| Q11: In case information on the presence of nanomaterials in specific products were made available, what impact do you think this would have on consumers? (Please tick all that would apply) | d) They would search for more information |
|--|---|

Q12: Do you believe that the public availability of information on the presence of nanomaterials in products would be likely to...(choose one of the following answers)

b) have no significant impact

PAGE 6: Section VI - Innovation and competitiveness

Q13: With regard to innovation, do you believe that information on nanomaterials and products containing nanomaterials that could be gathered in a nanomaterial registry would...(choose one of the following answers)

a) stimulate innovation (e.g. through increased consumer trust, increased awareness on nanomaterials)

Q14: With regard to competitiveness of EU companies manufacturing nanomaterials or products containing nanomaterials, do you believe that information on nanomaterials and products containing nanomaterials that could be gathered in a nanomaterial registry would...(tick all that apply)

a) stimulate intra-EU competitiveness,
b) enhance the competitiveness of European companies against extra-EU companies

PAGE 7: Section VIII – Possible options and exemptions

Q15: What would be the added value of a notification per use (i.e. for each mixture/article) compared to a notification per substance? – Please consider the usefulness of the information for public authorities, downstream user companies, workers and consumers.

full traceability accross the supply chain

Q16: Which actors along the supply chain should be subject to notification requirements? (tick all that apply):

a) Manufacturers of nanomaterials,
b) Importers of nanomaterials,
c) Downstream users (e.g. re-formulators, manufacturers of products containing nanomaterials)
,
d) Distributors to professional users (e.g. wholesalers)
,
e) Distributors to consumers (e.g. retailers)

Q17: The following should be subject to notification requirements (tick all that apply):

a) Substances,
b) Mixtures containing nanomaterials,
c) Articles with intended release of nanomaterials
,
d) Articles containing nanomaterials without intended release

Q18: Is there a need to exempt certain types of nanomaterials?

No, all kinds of nanomaterials should be subject to notification obligations

Q19: Is there a need to exempt certain uses of nanomaterials?

No, all uses of nanomaterials should be subject to notification obligations

PAGE 8: Section IX – Nanomaterials Observatory

Q20: If a Nanomaterials Observatory is established instead of an EU-wide registry, what type of information should be collected? (please tick all that apply)

- a) Information from existing notification systems,
- b) Information from market studies on nanomaterials and products containing nanomaterials
- ,
- c) Information on the use of nanomaterials across Europe
- ,
- d) Information concerning products containing nanomaterials
- ,
- e) Information on the hazards and risks of nanomaterials
- ,
- f) Other (please explain):
application of nanomaterials, characterisation of nanomaterials, nanomaterial concentration,

Q21: How should the information in a Nanomaterials Observatory be presented in order to reach the consumers, workers and authorities?

- public available website, easy to use

PAGE 9: Section X - Potential use and benefits of a nanomaterial registry

Q22: In what ways could the information on nanomaterials from registries be potentially useful (tick all that apply):

- a) Risk assessment and/or risk management,
- b) Enforcement of worker protection,
- c) Promotion of safe use of nanomaterials in products
- ,
- d) Development of strategies to ensure the safe use of nanomaterials
- ,
- e) Informed purchasing decisions by consumers,
- f) General education of the public,
- g) Other purposes (please specify)
safe disposal, reuse and recycling of products containing nanomaterials.

Q23: Please give a justification for your views (presented in the previous question) and describe which data would be necessary to allow the desired use (e.g. would information on substances alone be enough for informed consumer purchase decisions, or would this require information for each concerned product):

A
notification
scheme

scheme
based
on
the
use
of
substances
would
be
more
useful
in
the
context
of
risk
assessment
scenario.
A
life
cycle
assessment
of
each
product
also
needs
to
be
carried
out
in
order
to
evaluate
the
risk
of
nano
containing
products
over
their
whole
life
cycle,
especially
in
the
manufacturing
and
disposal
phase.
Information
should
support
regulatory
authorities
in
developing
legislation
to
protect
workers
(who
are

generally
exposed
to
higher
concentrations
of
nanomaterials
for
extended
periods
of
time).
Regulators
will
also
be
able
to
develop
strategies
assessing
the
use
of
nanomaterials
in
greater
detail,
this
will
only
serve
to
enhance
the
safe
use
of
nanomaterials
in
the
market.
A
registry
of
products
will
ensure
that
companies
know
exactly
what
is
present
in
their
products,
this
information
will
be
transparent
and
will
therefore

drive
companies
to
promote
the
safe
use
of
nanomaterials
in
their
products
in
order
to
compete
in
the
market.
A
nano
registry
provides
consumer
choice
of
products
allowing
them
to
choose
nano,
non
-
nano
or
different
nanomaterials
ensuring
a
greater
control
from
consumers,
which
is
one
of
the
main
aims
of
the
registry.
Increased
information
in
relation
to
nanoproducts
will
undoubtedly
increase
awareness
of
the

market
thereby
improving
education
of
the
public
about
the
matter
at
hand

Q24: What would be the added value of a European nanomaterial registry beyond the current framework of chemicals legislation, including REACH registration?

As described
in
various
legal
studies
such
as
“just
out
of
REACH,
how
reach
is
failing
to
regulate
nanomaterials
and
how
it
can
be
fixed”,
or
the
ECHA
analysis
of
nano
registration
so
far,
REACH
contains
gaps
and
loopholes
when
it
comes
to
nanomaterials,
and
as
a
result,
REACH
has
not

not
so
far
delivered
any
meaningful
information
on
nanomaterials.
Such
a
register
would
address
this
issue.
It
would
furthermore
achieve
traceability
of
all
NMs
in
products
arriving
to
the
EU.
Finally,
a
nanomaterial
registry
could
be
a
good
control
tool
to
verify
the
correct
registration
of
nanomaterials according to REACH requirements

Q25: Please provide any other comments that you would like to share regarding transparency measures for nanomaterials on the market.

A weighing
up
of
the
costs
and
benefits
of
an
ENPR
is
only
possible
to
a
.. .. .

limited
extent.
This
is
not
only
due
to
the
uncertainties
the
researched
costs
and
benefits
have
but
also
to
the
methodological
disparities
of
quantitative
estimation
of
the
direct
costs
for
notifiers
and
public
authorities
compared
with
a
qualitative
estimation
of
the
benefits.
Moreover
the
character
of
the
ENPR
as
a
precautionary
instrument
makes
a
comparison
of
costs
and
benefits
rather
difficult.
The
costs
of
preventive
actions

are
usually
tangible,
clearly
allocated
and
often
short
term,
whereas
the
costs
of
failing
to
act
are
less
tangible,
less
clearly
distributed
and
usually
longer
term,
posing
particular
problems
of
governance.

(see
“late
lessons
from
early
warning
2:
Science,
precaution,
Innovation”

in
particular
section

C,
available

@
<http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/late>

-
lessons

-
2