Level of validation of NAMs: expectations for methods used under REACH

REACH Article 13 *General requirements for generation of information on intrinsic properties of substances* provides:

Tests shall be conducted according to the methods described in Commission Regulation **(EC) No 440/2008 laying down test methods pursuant to REACH** ('Test Method Regulation').

or

in accordance with other international test methods recognised by the Commission or the Agency as being appropriate.

or

Information on intrinsic properties of substances may be generated in accordance with other test methods provided that the conditions set out in Annex XI are met.



Level of validation of NAMs: expectations for methods used under REACH

Other test methods provided that the conditions set out in Annex XI

- sufficiently well developed according to internationally agreed test development criteria (e.g. the ECVAM criteria for the entry of a test into the pre-validation process).
- method whose scientific validity has been established by a validation study, according to internationally agreed validation principles.



Level of validation of NAMs : expectations for Plant Protection Products (PPPs)

- Communications on data requirements*: "Only test methods that have been validated (e.g. ring-tested by OECD or equivalent international organisations) are listed.
 Test methods only described in scientific publications have not been included."
- Data Requirements for active substances and PPPs: ..In the absence of suitable internationally or nationally validated test guidelines, test guidelines accepted by the European competent authority shall be used."
- Use of NAMs is considered by EFSA (EFSA strategy 2027, Roadmap <u>https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/supporting/pub/en-7341)</u>)
- -> DG SANTE is interested in the further development and follows it up with EFSA, and JRC -> it has to be proven to be robust and fit for purpose
 - * Commission Communications <u>2023/C 344/02</u> and <u>2023/C 344/01</u> (chemical active substances and ppps), Commission Communications <u>2023/C 202/03</u> and <u>2023/C 202/02</u> (microbiological active substances and ppps).



Level of validation of NAMs: expectations for Biocidal Products

 Information requirements on active substances and biocidal products laid down in Annexes II and III of the BPR

Tests shall be conducted according to the methods described in Commission Regulation **(EC) No 440/2008 laying down test methods pursuant to REACH**. Other internationally recognised (if possible) methods can be used but need to be justified in the application.

- Internationally recognised test methods shall be available.
- Training on NAMs are needed for Member States and all stakeholder groups
- ECHA is committed to support the transition towards an animal-free regulatory environment for chemicals:
 - ECHA workshop on NAMs 31.05 to 01.06.2023



Cosmetics – SCCS ACCEPTANCE OF NEW ALTERNATIVE METHODS

(1) OFFICIALLY VALIDATED REPLACEMENT METHODS/STRATEGIES (OECD TGs)

(2) <u>VALID REPLACEMENT METHODS</u> WITH POSITIVE & NEGATIVE CONTROLS, SHOWING FULL PROTOCOLS & ALL PUBLICATION(S); IF HISTORICAL CONTROLS ARE USE → UPDATED CASE-BY-CASE, INCLUDING EXPERT JUDGEMENT ADDITION OF RELATED NAMS TO BUILD WoE; CASE STUDIES TO CREATE TRUST

(3) <u>MECHANISTIC METHOD</u> FOR WHICH DEVELOPMENT IS BASED ON BUILDING BLOCKS OF AOP PREFERABLY BASED ON HUMAN CELLS or TISSUES, ALL PUBLICATIONS, INCLUDING EXPERT JUDGEMENT (1) OFFICIALLY VALIDATED REPLACEMENT METHODS/STRATEGIES (OECD TGs)

(2) <u>VALID REPLACEMENT METHODS</u> WITH POSITIVE & NEGATIVE CONTROLS, SHOWING FULL PROTOCOLS & ALL PUBLICATION(S); IF HISTORICAL CONTROLS ARE USE → UPDATED CASE-BY-CASE, INCLUDING EXPERT JUDGEMENT ADDITION OF RELATED NAMS TO BUILD WOE; CASE STUDIES TO CREATE TRUST

(3) <u>MECHANISTIC METHODS</u> FOR WHICH DEVELOPMENT IS BASED ON BUILDING BLOCKS OF AN AOP PREFERABLY BASED ON HUMAN CELLS or TISSUES, ALL PUBLICATIONS, INCLUDING EXPERT JUDGEMENT

(4) <u>PBPK MODELS FOR QUANTITATIVE SAFETY EVALUATION</u>: criteria

- \rightarrow WHEN COMMERCIAL MODEL IS USED \rightarrow SECOND 'FREE ACCESS MODEL' (comparable results)
- → SENSITIVITY & UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS FOR ALL PARAMETERS
- → RATIO OF SIMULATED & OBSERVED DATA WITHIN FACTOR 2
- → CORRECT PREDICTION: NO USE OF THE SAME EXPERIMENTAL DATA AS USED TO BUILD THE MODEL
- → ADDING RESULTS OF SUITABLE READ-ACROSS EXERCISE
- → TO BE ESTABLISHED: CRITERIA FOR NEW MOLECULES WITH NO READ-ACROSS DATA, NO IN VIVO DATA